Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/092,937	BRANLUND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert W. Wilson	2616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Robert W. Wilson.	(3)		
(2) Ross L. Franks.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 May 2006.		/	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: Claims 49, 62, & 75.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>We agreed upon the examiner's amendment for claims 49, 62, & 75. Please refer to examiner's amendment for details. We also discussed the defination for QR decomposition. Refer to the examiner's reason for allowance for details.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v		ims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	plet	N.W.San	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		nature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)